(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3357 /2005

Petitioner : GOPAL DAS GUPTA,
S/o Late Shri G. L. Gupta,
aged about 54 years,
Occupation - Govt. Service,
Deputy Secretary, Deptt. of Commerce
and Industries, Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Permanent Resident of -
F-2/17, Shalimar Garden,
Kolar Road, Bhopal (M.P.)
At present residing at -
HIG - 111, Vijeta Complex,
New Rajendra Nagar,
Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3456/05
Presented by Shri Ayaz Nayeed
dated 21/7/05

VERSUS

Respondents :

1. Union of India,
Through Secretary,
Department of Personnel,
Public Grievance & Pension,
New Delhi.

2. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through : The Secretary
Department of General
Administration (G.A.D.),
Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (C.G.)

3. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary
Department of General
Administration (G.A.D.),
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

21/7/05

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21.7.05
CLERK to ... BILASPUR

(5)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3357 of 2005

Petitioner — Gopal Das Gupta

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Ayaj Naved, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, standing counsel for the Union of India/Respondent No.1.

Shri S. S. Rajput, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondent No. 3.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 18th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner has filed this petition against the inaction of the respondents in deciding his representation.
2. Shri S. K. Beriwal, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1/Union of India has produced a letter dated 27th December, 2006, written by Shri Manish Mohan, Deputy Secretary (SR), Ministry of Personnel, P.G. and Pensions Department of Personnel & Training, addressed to the petitioner. The letter is taken on record.
3. According to the letter dated 27th December, 2006 (supra) the Union of India has decided to consider the representation of the petitioner.
4. In view of the aforementioned, nothing survives in the matter. The petitioner is at liberty to file his representation immediately/urgently, so that the same can be considered and decided by the Union of India, as per the letter dated 27th December, 2006 (supra).
5. Accordingly, the matter stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur